DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : **M.Sc. Biotechnology**   Semester : **2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 10

Section A   **Dr. Anil Kumar**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	01	01	00	06	02
2.	Motivation Provided	00	02	01	05	02
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	02	00	01	06	01
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	00	02	08
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	01	00	02	07
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	01	00	01	02	06
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	02	00	00	03	05
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	02	00	01	04	03
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	01	01	01	05	02
10.	Teacher's overall performance	01	01	00	05	03

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	10	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	08	02	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	08	02	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	03	06	01
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	09	00	01

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Unable to include recent advancements in subject teaching, rigid with old conventional study material, very poor in communication, lack of motivation skill.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Biotechnology   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 10

Section A   **Dr. Hamendra Singh Parmar**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	00	01	09
2.	Motivation Provided	00	00	00	01	09
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	00	02	08
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	01	04	05
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	01	09
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	00	05	05
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	00	01	09
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	00	00	10
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	00	02	08
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	00	01	09

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	10	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	10	00	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	10	00	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	10	00	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	10	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Should teach more subject, no. of classes should be enhanced.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Biotechnology   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 11

Section A   ## Ms. Shweta Nakul

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	02	00	05	04	00
2.	Motivation Provided	01	00	00	08	01
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	01	00	01	07	01
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	03	06	01
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	01	00	04	04	01
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	01	00	07	02
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	01	00	04	02	03
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	01	00	00	08	01
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	01	00	00	07	01
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	02	02	06	01

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	10	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	08	02	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	09	02	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	03	04	03
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	10	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Unable to clear doubts and in bringing conceptual clarity, very poor in teaching.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : **M.Sc. Biotechnology**   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 10

Section A   **Ms. Shreya Shah**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	02	00	03	05	00
2.	Motivation Provided	02	00	00	05	03
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	02	00	00	06	02
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	01	02	01	06	00
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	01	01	02	05	01
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	02	00	01	06	01
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	02	00	01	04	03
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	01	01	00	06	02
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	02	00	01	06	01
10.	Teacher's overall performance	02	00	01	06	01

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	09	01	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	08	02	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	07	03	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	04	04	02
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	10	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Very lazy, uninterested in teaching, very poor in subject, unable to bring conceptual clarity and explanation.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Biotechnology   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 10

Section A   **Ms. Neha Gupta**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	00	02	08
2.	Motivation Provided	00	00	00	01	09
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	00	01	09
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	04	00	06
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	01	09
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	00	04	06
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	00	02	08
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	00	01	09
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	00	01	09
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	00	01	09

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	10	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	10	00	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	10	00	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	10	00	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	10	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Difficult and important subjects should be given to her individualy. Excellent in bringing conceptual clarity in subjects.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Biotechnology   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 10

Section A   **Ms. Baishali Roy**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	01	00	01	05	03
2.	Motivation Provided	01	00	01	06	02
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	01	01	02	06
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	03	00	06	01	00
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	03	07	00
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	01	00	04	04	01
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	01	00	03	04	02
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	01	00	02	05	02
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	01	02	04	02
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	01	02	06	01

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	09	01	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	09	01	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	07	01	02
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	06	03	01
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	09	01	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Very poor in Regularity & Punctuality, doesn't provide any study material	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Genetic Engineering.   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 09

Section A   **Dr. Anil Kumar**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
11.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	02	01	02	03	01
12.	Motivation Provided	00	02	04	02	01
13.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	01	04	03	01
14.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	00	04	05
15.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	05	04
16.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	01	02	03	03
17.	Compliments theory with practical examples	01	00	03	04	01
18.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	03	02	02	01
19.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	01	03	02	03
20.	Teacher's overall performance	00	02	02	04	01

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
6.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	08	00	00
7.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	03	04	01
8.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	04	04	00
9.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	02	06	00
10.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	08	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Very poor teaching methods, should refer standard books in teaching, very rigid while checking answers which should from his books only, promote rote learning, lack of conceptual clarity, poor in communication.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Genetic Engineering.   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 11

Section A   **Dr. Hamendra Singh Parmar**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
11.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	01	05	05
12.	Motivation Provided	00	00	02	04	05
13.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	01	08	02
14.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	04	05	02
15.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	04	07
16.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	03	05	03
17.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	02	03	05
18.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	01	04	06
19.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	01	04	06
20.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	01	05	05

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
6.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	08	00	02
7.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	05	04	01
8.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	10	00	00
9.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	10	00	00
10.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	08	01	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	There should be one teacher for the subject, for genomics and proteomics Ms. Shreya is not recommended.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Genetic Engineering.   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 11

Section A   **Ms. Shweta Nakul**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
11.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	02	00	06	03	00
12.	Motivation Provided	02	00	04	05	00
13.	Teacher's Communication Skill	01	02	01	07	00
14.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	05	04	02
15.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	02	01	04	04	00
16.	Completion & Coverage of Course	02	00	02	05	02
17.	Compliments theory with practical examples	02	01	03	03	02
18.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	01	00	04	06	00
19.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	03	00	01	07	00
20.	Teacher's overall performance	03	00	01	07	00

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
6.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	09	01	00
7.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	05	05	00
8.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	07	03	00
9.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	02	06	02
10.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	08	02	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	No conceptual clarity, wrong facts are delivered, rote learning is promoted, lack of explanations in teaching, just read the PPT in class, unable to clear doubt. **Ms. Neha should teach the subject.**	

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Genetic Engineering.   Semester : 2nd

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 08

<u>Section A</u>   **<u>Ms. Shreya Shah</u>**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	02	01	04	01	00
2.	Motivation Provided	02	01	03	01	00
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	02	02	03	01	00
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	02	00	05	01	00
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	02	01	04	01	00
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	02	01	01	04	00
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	02	01	02	01	02
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	02	01	03	02	00
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	02	01	02	03	00
10.	Teacher's overall performance	02	01	04	01	00

<u>Section B</u>

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	02	05	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	01	06	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	01	06	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	01	06	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	04	03	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	No conceptual clarity, promote rote learning, taught wrong facts, biased behavior, poor in subject knowledge, and in punctuality.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Genetic Engineering.   **Semester : 2ⁿᵈ**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 07

Section A   **Ms. Neha Gupta**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	00	02	05
2.	Motivation Provided	00	00	00	05	02
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	00	02	05
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	01	04	02
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	01	06
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	01	04	02
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	00	05	03
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	00	04	04
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	00	03	03
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	00	04	03

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	05	01	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	04	02	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	06	00	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	06	00	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	06	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	None	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year**: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Genetic Engineering.   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 06

Section A   **Ms. Baishali Roy**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	01	05	00
2.	Motivation Provided	00	01	00	05	00
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	01	01	03	01
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	03	01	01	01
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	01	00	04	01
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	01	02	02	01
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	03	00	02	01
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	01	01	03	01
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	01	01	03	01
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	01	01	03	01

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	03	02	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases givin.	03	02	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	03	01	01
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	03	01	01
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	05	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	No conceptual clarity in teaching, does not refer standard books,	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year **: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Bioinformatics   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 03

Section A   ## Dr. Anil Kumar

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERA GE	GOOD	EXCELL ENT
21.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	01	02	00
22.	Motivation Provided	00	01	00	01	01
23.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	01	00	01	01
24.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	00	01	02
25.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	02	01
26.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	00	03	00
27.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	01	00	01	01
28.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	01	00	02	00
29.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	01	01	01
30.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	01	01	01

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
11.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	03	00	00
12.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases given.	02	01	00
13.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	00	03	00
14.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	01	02	00
15.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	03	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Copies should be checked without partiality, attendance should not consider while giving marks.	

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year **: 2018-19**

Course Name : __M.Sc. Bioinformatics__   **Semester :** __2nd__

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 05

Section A   **Dr. Hamendra Singh Parmar**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	01	01	03
2.	Motivation Provided	00	01	00	01	03
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	01	00	04
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	01	00	04
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	01	04
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	00	01	04
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	00	02	03
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	01	00	04
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	01	01	02
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	01	00	04

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	05	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases given.	04	01	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	04	01	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	05	00	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	05	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	There should be sufficient time for discussing UT and theory exam marks.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year **: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Bioinformatics   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 04

Section A   ## Ms. Nikita Chordia

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	00	02	02
2.	Motivation Provided	00	00	02	00	02
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	00	02	02
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	02	00	02
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	01	03
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	00	02	02
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	00	01	03
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	00	02	02
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	00	00	04
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	00	00	04

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	04	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases given.	04	00	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	04	00	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	02	01	01
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	03	01	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	None	

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Students Feedback on Teacher's Performance and Action Taken Report (ATR)

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year **: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Bioinformatics   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 04

Section A   **Ms. Swati Patidar**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	00	03	01
2.	Motivation Provided	00	00	02	01	01
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	00	02	02
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	01	02	01
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	02	02
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	01	01	02
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	01	02	01
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	01	01	02
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	00	02	02
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	00	02	02

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	03	01	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases given.	02	02	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	04	00	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	03	01	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	04	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	There should be more no. of objective questions in exam.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year **: 2018-19**

Course Name : M.Sc. Bioinformatics   **Semester : 2nd**

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: 04

Section A   **Ms. Shweta Nakul**

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERA GE	GOOD	EXCELL ENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	00	04	00
2.	Motivation Provided	00	00	02	02	00
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	01	03	00
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	00	03	01
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	04	00
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	00	03	01
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	00	02	02
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	01	01	02
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	02	02	00
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	00	03	01

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	04	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases given.	02	02	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	03	01	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	01	03	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	04	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	Unable to bring conceptual clarity, dictation should be with thorough explanation.	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator

SCHOOL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Academic Year **: 2018-19**

Course Name : <u>**M.Sc. Bioinformatics**</u>   **Semester :** <u>**2**</u>nd

The department has obtained feedback on teacher's performance from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Student participated in Feedback: <u>05</u>

Section A   <u>**Ms. Shreya Shah**</u>

S. N.	CURRICULUM	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT
1.	Ability to bring conceptual clarity & Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	00	00	00	03	02
2.	Motivation Provided	00	00	00	03	02
3.	Teacher's Communication Skill	00	00	00	03	02
4.	Teacher's Regularity & Punctuality	00	00	00	02	03
5.	Teacher's Subject Knowledge	00	00	00	02	03
6.	Completion & Coverage of Course	00	00	00	03	02
7.	Compliments theory with practical examples	00	00	00	05	00
8.	Teacher's interaction & guidance outside the class room	00	00	00	03	02
9.	Teacher's Computer/ IT Skills	00	00	00	03	01
10.	Teacher's overall performance	00	00	00	03	02

Section B

		YES	NO	NO COMMENTS
1.	Result of test declared within two weeks of it being conducted.	05	00	00
2.	Adequate number of assignments/ cases given.	05	00	00
3.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach?	04	00	00
4.	Would you recommend him/ her to teach you any other subject?	03	02	00
5.	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate?	05	00	00

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
	None	

Date: Signature of Head/ Coordinator